

**alt.lasik-eyes > [Attorney James Clinchard violates Federal Bankruptcy Law? \(shyster alert!\)](#)****From:** Brent Hanson - LASIKFRAUD.com - [view profile](#)[Fixed font](#) - **Proportional font**  
[show](#)  
[options](#)**Date:** Fri, Apr 28 2006 10:04 am**Email:** "Brent Hanson - LASIKFRAUD.com" <administrator@lasikcourt.com>**Groups:** alt.lasik-eyes

James Clinchard is an attorney who practices law with K. Greg Peterson is an attorney, and maintains an office at 1716 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

James Clinchard helped Greg Peterson filed a frivolous lawsuit against me on behalf of Glenn Hagele in March 2006, at a time when he knew or should have known that an automatic stay against filing lawsuits was issued.  
<http://www.lasikfraud.com/news/archives/000162.html>

Consumer bankruptcy law states that "The "automatic stay" is a rule that prevents any creditor from doing anything at all to enforce a claim against a debtor during the bankruptcy case. Some examples of actions by a creditor that would violate the stay are these: (1) Filing a new lawsuit, or continuing to press a lawsuit that had already been filed."  
<http://www.scu.edu/law/FacWebPage/Neustadter/article9/main/statutes/b...>  
and  
[http://bankruptcy-law.freeadvice.com/consumer\\_bankruptcy/what\\_is\\_auto...](http://bankruptcy-law.freeadvice.com/consumer_bankruptcy/what_is_auto...)

Well did Greg Peterson really know that I am in bankruptcy and that an automatic stay had been issued? To learn the answer, review the following e-mail that Glenn Hagele sent to Dominic Morgan on March 16. In it he states that "Keep in mind that although I know about Hanson's two bankruptcies".

=====

-Apparently-To: lasiksuck...@yahoo.com via 66.163.178.172; Thu, 16 Mar 2006 21:39:58 -0800  
X-Originating-IP: [128.121.61.26]  
Authentication-Results: mta293.mail.scd.yahoo.com  
from=usaeyes.org; domainkeys=neutral (no sig)  
Received: from 128.121.61.26 (EHLO usaeyes.securesites.net) (128.121.61.26)  
by mta293.mail.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; Thu, 16 Mar 2006 21:39:58 -0800

Received: from MAIN (ppp-69-238-31-115.dsl.scrm01.pacbell.net [69.238.31.115])

(authenticated bits=0)

by usaeyes.securesites.net (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id k2H5dolC037476

for <lasiksuck...@yahoo.com>; Fri, 17 Mar 2006 05:39:54 GMT

Reply-To: <glenn.hag...@usaeyes.org>

From: "Glenn Hagele" <glenn.hag...@usaeyes.org>

To: "LASIKsucks4u" <lasiksuck...@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: curious

Date: Thu, 16 Mar 2006 21:39:48 -0800

Organization: USAEyes.org

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 11

Thread-Index: AcZJfvYWiOaPNBNzQg67s07EXzqr2gAArAzA

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2670

In-Reply-To: <20060317045501.69093.qm...@web34514.mail.mud.yahoo.com>

Content-Length: 2092

To a great extent your criticisms are exactly on target. What you may not understand is that there is a method to the madness.

An agreement in principle has been met with Ron Link, so I have no need

to say anything about him.

Hanson, Keller, Cofer, and Burch have all defamed me or doctors I have worked with, but for some of the worst defamation the time to act had expired. I needed them to raise it again or act anew. Additionally, some information I need before sending the subpoenas I would only be able to get directly from them. In essence, I got down to their level to get them to provide me with information I needed. It has worked. My litigation against Hanson is filed and we will serve him as soon as we have finalized all the arrangements necessary for a potential fraud case against him in federal court.

Keller has clearly defamed Dr. Maloney and implicated both Cofer and Burch. Maloney is preparing to sue Keller and quite possibly Cofer and Burch. Cofer stupidly responded to my attorney's demand letter with accusations and further defamation against me on the letterhead of her employer, signed it as a representative of her employer, faxed it with a cover sheet from her employer, and sent it from her employer's fax, implicating her employer in her defamation and opening up the very deep pockets of a Florida credit union.

The rancor you have seen the past two months has most certainly cost me

some credibility, however it was for a purpose to resolve it all and that purpose has almost been met.

Keep in mind that although I know about Hanson's two bankruptcies,

skipping out on people, federal fraud, ownership of LasikFlap, sexual orientation, additional acts of judicial contempt, and sending defaming

emails to all of our doctors, I have not announced any of it although I

have all the documentation to substantiate.

The same is true regarding Keller's implication of her business in defamation on LasikDisaster, Cofer stupidly involving her employer, or Burch's defamation of her former employer. Yes, what I have said about them has been bad, but it most certainly could be worse for them - and worse for me. I'm willing to sink as far as necessary, but not all the way down to their level.

Everything is now in motion. Keller is no longer responding and Maloney

already has his law firm in motion, so there is nothing to say there. Hanson is just a matter of time before he has fully crashed and burned.

Cofer/Keller/Burch have done just about as much damage to themselves as

I could expect. The FBI is now involved, as is the US Trustee.

The dust will be settling now and it should be very quiet. Unless, of course, we hear from Hanson, Cofer, Keller, and Burch about their difficulties and demise.

Believe me, I much prefer to spend my time helping patients that dealing with this crap, but this crap has been thrust upon me for five long years and it is time to put an end to it all.

Glenn

▶ [Reply](#)

---

[Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2006 Google